



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/713,834	11/15/2000	Hassan S. Hashemi	00CON159P	1030
25700	7590	05/19/2004	EXAMINER	
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP 26522 LA ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691			CRUZ, LOURDES C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	09/713,834	HASHEMI, HASSAN S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lourdes (Elle) Cruz	2827	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 18-33 and 58-66 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 18-33 and 58-66 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18-20,22-33, and 58-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Selna (US 5640048).

Selna discloses (See cover Figure) a structure comprising:

A substrate 52,54 having a top surface for receiving a chip 12, said chip having at least one device electrode (on 12, connected to 22/24); a printed circuit board 18 attached to a bottom surface of said substrate; at least one signal via 6A in said substrate; at least one bond pad 8A abutting said at least one signal via providing an electrical connection between said device electrode (on 12, connected to 22/24) of said chip and said printed circuit board;

A plurality of separate thermally conductive vias 6C in said substrate; each of said plurality of separate thermally conductive vias being coupled to a heat spreader 10C, said heat spreader being directly attached to said bottom surface of said substrate. See that the plurality of thermally conductive vias provides a connection between said chip and said heat spreader (see layer 8C).

Selna also discloses:

- Said chip being a semiconductor chip

- Said substrate comprises organic/ceramic material (Col. 1, lines 15+)
- Said at least one signal via is coupled to said at least one bond pad without utilizing a trace
- Said signal via runs from top to bottom surfaces of the substrate
- Said bond pad electrically connected to said device electrode by a bonding wire 22/24
- Wherein each of said at least one signal via provides an electrical connection between said device electrode and a land 10A, said land being electrically connected to said PCB (through 14A)
- Said via is coupled to said land
- Said at least one signal/thermally conductive via comprises Copper (Col. 6, line 35), which is a thermally conductive material
- See that the heat slug/spreader of Selna is attached to the PCB
- A second plurality of signal vias 6B providing connection between a plurality of device electrodes (on 12, connected to 22/24) of said semiconductor chip 12 and said PCB
- Each of said bond pads is electrically connected to a respective one of the device electrodes
- Said second plurality of signal vias provide electrical connections between each one of said plurality of device electrodes and a

respective one of said lands 10B, said lands being electrically connected to said PCB (through 14B)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Selna.

See that Selna discloses organic ceramic material for the substrate. However, the claim specifically recites materials such as FR4. These materials are well known and widely used among semiconductor artisans. See that Applicant admits that such materials are well known in the art on page 5 of the present application, and that such materials are not considered to be Applicant's invention due to this admission. Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate materials such as FR4 to the claimed invention since they are readily available, they are well known and widely used in the art as explained above, and because such is admitted by Applicant in the disclosure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03-01-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The new grounds of rejections were necessitated by Applicant's amendments to the claims. Applicant argues that:

- Selna does not disclose a bond pad abutting each of the conductive vias for electrically connecting each device electrode of a semiconductor chip to the PCB. Instead, Selna "connects (e.g. through 22) the device electrode to the traces (e.g. 8A," thus directly coupling the electrode to the traces)
- Traces are not needed between bond pads and vias in the present invention

The above are not persuasive since:

- Selna discloses bond pads 8 abutting each of the conductive vias 6A, 6B connecting each device electrode of the chip to the PCB. The examiner is puzzled by applicant arguments regarding wires 22 and "traces". Firstly, because "wireless" connections are not claimed. Second, because Applicant seems to be putting weight on a label "traces"
- Labels, statements of intended use, or functional language such as we have here in "bond pads" does not structurally distinguish the claim over the prior art which shows a structure that may likewise be labeled, used or function as a bond pad rather than a

"trace". See *In re Pearson* 181 USPQ 641, *Ex parte Minks* 169 USPQ 120, and *In re Swinwhart* 169 USPQ 226.

- See that 6A is directly connected to 8A, therefore requiring no trace in between structures.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elle Cruz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1928. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 2827


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.



Elle Cruz
May 17, 2004

Lourdes (Elle) Cruz
Examiner
Art Unit 2827



KAMMAND CUNEO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800